Nanostructures of APOBEC3G support a hierarchical assembly model of high molecular mass ribonucleoprotein particles from dimeric subunits*
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Human APOBEC3G (hA3G) is a cytidine deaminase (CDA) that restricts HIV-1 infection in a vif-dependent manner. hA3G from HIV-permissive activated CD4+ T-cells exists as an inactive, high-molecular-mass (HMM) complex that can be transformed in vitro into an active, low-molecular-mass (LMM) variant comparable to that of HIV-non-permissive CD4+ T-cells. Here we present low resolution structures of hA3G in HMM and LMM forms determined by small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) and advanced shape reconstruction methods. The results show that LMM particles have an extended shape, dissimilar to known cytidine deaminases, featuring novel tail-to-tail dimerization. Shape analysis of LMM and HMM structures revealed how symmetric association of dimers could lead to minimal HMM variants. These observations imply that the disruption of cellular HMM particles may require regulation of protein-RNA, as well as protein-protein interactions, which has implications for therapeutic development.

hA3G is an anti-retroviral host defense factor that restricts HIV-1 infection by vif deficient viral strains (1). hA3G is packaged into HIV-1 virions (2,3) and causes extensive 2’-deoxycytidine to 2’-deoxyuridine mutations of minus polarity viral DNA during reverse transcription (4). Such ‘DNA editing’ results in extensive 2’-deoxyguanosine to 2’-deoxyadenosine changes in the viral cDNA that contribute to reduced HIV infectivity (5-8). However, a deaminase independent anti-viral mechanism exists as well (9) that may entail RNA binding (10). Although hA3G does not edit RNA, it exhibits general RNA binding properties (11-13). The principal form of hA3G in HIV infection-permissive CD4+ cells of lymphoid tissues is an HMM ribonucleoprotein complex with little or no deaminase activity (14,15). In contrast, an enzymatically active, LMM form of hA3G predominates in peripheral blood CD4+ cells, and serves as a potent post-entry HIV restriction factor (14,15). Activation of such cells recruits the LMM enzyme into HMM complexes rendering the cell permissive to infection (15). In vitro treatment of HMM hA3G with RNase or in vivo exposure to interferon produces the enzymatically active LMM form suggesting anti-viral activity involves a delicate interplay governed by RNA-protein interactions (14,16,17).

hA3G belongs to the family of APOBEC-1 related proteins characterized by a ZDD fold featuring the consensus sequence (Cys/His)XGluY25-30ProCysXcys, where ‘X’ is any amino acid (18). Although homology models have been generated for some APOBEC-1 family members (19,20) and the hA3G secondary structure has been predicted (18,21), no empirical structural information exists for it or any other member of the APOBEC family. Modeling of the hA3G structure based upon known dimeric or tetrameric CDAs (20,22-24) is complicated by the fact that the protein arose from a novel gene duplication of the fundamental ZDD motif such
that tandem active sites are present in each subunit (18). To provide insight into the fundamental physical properties of hA3G in relation to known cytidine deaminase structures, as well as how hA3G oligomerization contributes to retroviral restriction, we undertook a solution SAXS analysis of the recombinant enzyme in its HMM and LMM forms.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Preparation of hA3G– Full length hA3G cDNA was amplified from oligo-dT primed H9 cell RNA and a four His tag (4xHis) was added to the C-terminus by PCR. This construct was subcloned into pFastbac™ (Invitrogen, CA). Baculovirus production and infection of Sf9 cell cultures for expression were carried out by Immunodiagnostics, Inc.

Frozen cells (4 g) where lysed in 20 mL of 0.5X hA3G buffer [1X = 50 mM HEPPS pH 8.8, 75 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl$_2$, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 0.2 mM β-mercaptoethanol and EDTA-free complete protease inhibitor (Roche, IN)] by freezing in N$_2$ (I) and thawing followed by shearing via successive passes through 22 and 26 gauge needles. The lysis solution was brought to 1% (v/v) Triton X100 and made 0.1 mM in CaCl$_2$. Nuclease digestion ensued with either 0.125 mg•mL$^{-1}$ RNase-free DNase I (Sigma, MO) (hereafter this protein is referred to as hA3G-D) or 0.125 mg•mL$^{-1}$ DNase I and 0.25 mg•mL$^{-1}$ RNase A (Sigma) (hA3G-DR) at 37°C for 30 min. The crude protein sample was brought to 1 M urea final concentration, incubated at 24°C for 2 h at 24°C. Cleared supernatants were adsorbed onto 2 mL Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen, Germany) and mixed for 2 h at 24°C. Contaminants were removed by centrifugation of resin (500x g for 5 min) washed consecutively over a 2 h period with 10 volumes of: (i) 1X hA3G buffer with 1 M urea; (ii) 1X hA3G buffer with 0.5 M urea; (iii) 5X hA3G buffer; (iv) 1X hA3G buffer containing 0.01 M imidazole; and (v) 1X hA3G buffer with 0.07 M imidazole. Remaining Ni-NTA-bound hA3G was placed in a 15 mL Econo column (BioRad, CA) and eluted with 1X hA3G buffer with 0.25 M imidazole. Elution was monitored at 280 nm. Pure fractions were identified and pooled based on SDS PAGE gels stained with Coomassie blue dye; estimated purity was >99%. Samples were centrifuged at 50Kx g for 60 min after purification.

DNA deaminase assays (Supplemental Methods and Fig. S1) demonstrated nominal activity for hA3G-D, whereas hA3G-DR produced a specific activity of 30 pmol µg$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$. These activity trends are consistent with those reported (16).

SAXS Experiments– Scattering experiments were performed at beamline G1 of CHESS (Ithaca, NY). Scattered X-rays were recorded on a custom 1024 x 1024 (69.78 µm) pixel CCD detector fabricated by the Gruner group (Cornell University, NY). Scattering was performed at 20°C at a sample-to-detector distance of 138.0 cm. The wavelength, λ, was 1.249 Å, which produced an accessible q-range from 0.012 to 0.215 Å$^{-1}$, where $q = 4\pi\sin(\theta)/\lambda$ (2θ is the scattering angle). Samples of hA3G were prepared at various concentrations in 1X hA3G buffer containing 0.25 M imidazole. Protein concentrations were 0.9 mg•mL$^{-1}$ and 1.8 mg•mL$^{-1}$ for hA3G-D, and 0.55 mg•mL$^{-1}$ and 1.1 mg•mL$^{-1}$ for hA3G-DR; lower concentrations were examined as well to assure there was no aggregation. Samples were centrifuged at 14Kx g and immediately transferred to a home-made cuvette composed of a plastic micro-machined disk (ALine Inc, CA) fitted with 25 µm mica walls. This cell had a capacity of 12 µL and was loaded through an inlet port with a 25 µL blunt-end syringe (Hamilton Corp., NV). The X-ray beam size was 0.5 x 0.5 mm$^2$, which was significantly smaller than the sample cell window. Exposure times were 2 to 80 sec to assess radiation damage; each exposure was recorded in triplicate. Two-dimensional scattering data were corrected for buffer scatter, CCD dark current and detector non-uniformity. Ag-Behenate powder (The Gem Dugout, State College, PA) was used to calibrate the beam center and sample-to-detector distances. Two-dimensional scattering data were integrated by Data Squeeze 2.07 (25) yielding a one-dimensional intensity profile as a function of scattering vector q.

Analysis of Reduced Scattering Data– The $R_G$ was calculated using the indirect Fourier transform package GNOM (26). The result is a pair-distance distribution function, $p(r)$, in real space that represents an alternative means to calculate $R_G$ compared to traditional Guinier approximations that are produced from low angle q values in which $q^2R_G < 1.3$ (27). In contrast,
RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Interpretation of the SAXS Data and Distance Distribution Functions—The SAXS data reveal important physical properties of hA3G that define its global morphology in solution on a nanometer scale. The experimental scattering profiles of pure recombinant hA3G-D (no RNase treatment) and hA3G-DR (RNase treated) are depicted in Figs. 1A and 1B. Respective distance distribution functions (Figs. 1C & 1D) were calculated by GNOM (26). Both are skewed from an ideal bell-shaped curve characteristic of elongated particles (37,38). The p(r) for hA3G-D indicates an \( R_G \) of 72.4 \( \pm 0.9 \) Å and a maximum molecular dimension (\( D_{\text{max}} \)) of 210 Å. The forward scattering \( I(0) \) was also calculated by GNOM and corresponds to a MW of 292 \( \pm 8 \) kDa. RNase treated hA3G-DR exhibits a smaller \( R_G \) of 45.8 \( \pm 0.2 \) Å with a \( D_{\text{max}} \) of 140 Å; its \( I(0) \) corresponds to a MW of 100.6 \( \pm 4.5 \) kDa.
consistent with a dimer of hA3G subunits. These values agree with those obtained by DLS and/or gel filtration chromatography (Supplemental Data and Supplemental Fig. S2).

**Quality of Ab Initio Models.** Bead models for hA3G-D and hA3G-DR were reconstructed from the experimental SAXS curves in DAMMIN (29). The agreement between an individual ab initio model and the experimental data is indicated by the fit of the model scattering curve with actual data (Figs. 1A and 1B). Ten ab initio models each were calculated for hA3G-D and hA3G-DR. The final models exhibited $\chi$ values of ~1.2 for hA3G-D and ~2.8 for hA3G-DR. The observation that the hA3G-DR MW was consistent with a dimer prompted the use of a P2 symmetry constraint in model calculations; no significant difference in $\chi$ was observed using P1 symmetry. The average shape of each molecule was calculated by superposition of all 10 independent models. The average NSD value for hA3G-D models was 0.74 and that for P2 symmetric hA3G-DR was 1.14 (a value of 1.05 was obtained when no symmetry restraint was applied). An NSD value close to unity indicates good agreement between models, whereas ideally superimposed objects tend towards zero (30,31).

*Descriptions of Average hA3G Models—*

The hA3G-D shape is an elongated cylinder (Fig. 2A). Three principal domains are apparent along the major axis of inertia with each being separated by a narrow cleft. The central domain possesses a depression in its broad face producing a toroid. The RNase sensitivity of this particle and its prominent CD absorption at 267 nm (Supplemental Fig. S3) demonstrate that this structure represents a ribonucleoprotein complex. In contrast, the hA3G-DR structure is significantly smaller (Fig. 2B) consisting of an elongated multi-lobed organization comparable to ‘beads-on-a-string’. The molecule possesses dyad symmetry with only a small buried surface area in the subunit interface, which is different from known CDA structures in which the dimer interface is extensive (39).

hA3G-D is a Minimal HMM Particle that Accommodates two LMM hA3G-DR Dimers— It is likely that the highly purified hA3G-D of this study represents a minimal HMM particle since previous reports described HMM ribonucleoprotein complexes $\geq 669$ kDa; similarly hA3G-DR of $\sim 100$ kDa is consistent with LMM variants isolated by gel filtration (14,16). To analyze the size and shape relationship between hA3G-D and hA3G-DR, the latter’s dimeric envelope was fitted inside that of the HMM particle. The results revealed that two independent hA3G-DR dimers (4 subunits) fit about a dyad-axis inside hA3G-D with no spatial overlap (Fig. 3A) giving an NSD of 1.1. A second mode of translational packing was also identified (Supplemental Fig. S4). However, the rotational symmetry depicted in Fig. 3A is favored because it accounts for the torus in the central domain of hA3G-D (Figs. 2A & 3A).

**The Global Fold of the hA3G-DR Dimer is a Novel Structure in Comparison to Known Cytidine Deaminases—** hA3G is a ZDD enzyme based on its catalytic activity and amino acid sequence alignment with known CDAs (18). However, its secondary structure content and fold classification have not been analyzed experimentally. Using CD spectroscopy, we demonstrated that (i) hA3G-D and hA3G-DR belong to the $\alpha/\beta$ fold class, consistent with the CDA family (20), and (ii) the secondary structure content of hA3G-D does not change significantly upon RNase treatment (Supplemental Table S1 & Fig. S3). These structural and functional similarities prompted a comparison of the LMM hA3G-DR dimer to the fold of a representative CDA crystal structure, i.e. yeast CDD1 (20). The CDD1 tetramer cannot superpose with either monomeric or dimeric hA3G-DR (Fig. 3B). CDD1 like other CDAs (such as the dimeric enzyme from *E. coli*) is much more compact than the elongated hA3G-DR structure. These observations support a novel tertiary and quaternary organization for hA3G with implications for other APOBEC3 family members such as 3B and 3F [reviewed in (18)].

Docking of a Minimal CDA Domain into the hA3G-DR Envelope Supports Tail-to-Tail Dimerization— The presence of deaminase activity, $\alpha/\beta$ secondary structure and two ZDD signature motifs per polypeptide suggested that the hA3G-DR envelope should accommodate at least two minimal CDA structures per subunit. An automated rigid body search of the hA3G-DR envelope was conducted using a single CDD1 subunit (Fig. 3B, *oval inset*). A CDD1 monomer was chosen because it exhibits the minimal...
deaminase fold (~132 amino acids) and is structurally homologous to numerous other deaminases with the ZDD signature sequence (20). The results revealed that two CDA monomers could be accommodated per hA3G-DR subunit with an average correlation coefficient of 0.76 per subunit. The top solutions differed only by rotational placement in the hA3G-DR envelope. For practical considerations, solutions were chosen (Fig. 3B) to orient the C-terminus of one CDA domain in proximity to the N-terminus of another. As such, the spatial relationship of the domains follows a “large-small-large-small” pattern with envelope volumes of approximately 18700 Å³, 7480 Å³, 15180 Å³ and 6600 Å³. This pattern correlates with the domain organization of the hA3G amino acid sequence, i.e. an N-terminal ZDD motif, a smaller non-catalytic domain, a C-terminal ZDD motif and a short non-catalytic C-terminal domain (18). The volume of a single CDD1 CDA domain is 17690 Å³, which agrees well with the larger volumes of the LMM hA3G subunit. Although no high-resolution structure exists for the smaller ~55 amino acid non-catalytic domains, these segments occupy volumes of ~7200 Å³ based on amino acid van der Waals radii (39), which closely agrees with the envelope volumes observed here. This result supports a tail-to-tail dimerization model for hA3G (Fig. 3C), rather than a head-to-head (Fig. 3D) or head-to-tail configuration (16). We cannot dismiss the possibility that DNA or RNA binding induces a conformational change that juxtaposes the N- and C-terminal CDA domains as in CDD1 (Fig. 3B) or other trans-acting CDAs (20). However, the extended tail-to-tail topology explains why each hA3G active site functioned as a monomer, devoid of dominant negative effects characteristic of trans subunit complementation (41). Tail-to-tail organization would also confer unique bidentate substrate affinity and deamination properties. Each solvent exposed N-terminal domain of a subunit would exhibit its established nucleic-acid binding properties, contributing to the affinity of substrates deaminated by a catalytically active C-terminal ZDD (10). In this manner, transient binding and release of substrate by each half of a dimer would confer processivity, as well as the ability to ‘jump’ large distances past double-stranded substrate sequences (16). Finally, the tail-to-tail model posits that hA3G possesses more than one mode of intersubunit interaction: (i) those promoted by protein, leading to self (or hetero) association (11) and (ii) those promoted by RNA. The solvent accessibility and RNA avidity of the N-terminal ZDD combined with the ability of the C-terminus to form intermolecular subunit interactions imply that assembly of higher order ribonucleoprotein complexes is hierarchical. The close-packing of hA3G-DR dimers within a minimal HMM particle (i.e. hA3G-D) could attenuate substrate affinity and deamination through sequestration of N- and C-terminal ZDDs. Such a situation might arise if multiple hA3G-D particles were to coalesce, possibly through an RNA bridge. By analogy, sequestration of APOBEC-1 within inactive 60S editosomes was established as a mechanism to regulate mRNA editing, which requires reorganization into active, 27S complexes (42). Ultimately, high resolution structural information will be required to discern explicit protein- and RNA-mediated factors leading to HMM assembly, which represents an important drug target.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Small angle X-ray scattering curves and distance distribution functions for hA3G. (A) Experimental hA3G-D SAXS curve (circles) and a scattering profile calculated from a representative ab initio model (line). The sample was 1.8 mg mL$^{-1}$ in 1X hA3G buffer plus 0.25 M imidazole. (B) Experimental hA3G-DR SAXS curve (circles) and a scattering profile calculated from a representative ab initio model (line); the sample was 1.1 mg mL$^{-1}$. (C) Distance distribution function for hA3G-D calculated from $I(q)$ data in (A). The data were fit to a smooth curve and correspond to a maximum particle dimension ($D_{\text{max}}$) of 210 Å. The peak maximum of the curve corresponds to an $R_G$ of 72.4 Å. (D) Distance distribution function for hA3G-DR. $D_{\text{max}} = 140$ Å with an $R_G = 45.8$ Å.

Figure 2. Average envelope shapes of hA3G. (A) The hA3G-D model (not treated with RNase) representing a minimal HMM particle. (B) The dimeric model of hA3G-DR (RNase treated) representing an LMM particle. A dashed arrow indicates a two-fold axis relating each subunit of the dimer. Figures 2 and 3 were generated by use of PyMol (43).

Figure 3. Spatial relationships between hA3G-D, hA3G-DR and the rudimentary cytidine deaminase domain. (A) Global fit of two hA3G-DR (LMM) dimers (left, dark blue and gray) into the hA3G-D envelope (blue, semi-transparent surface labeled HMM). Small portions of the respective LMM dimers project outside the hA3G-D envelope and appear as purple or red patches. (Inset) Possible spatial relationship between hA3G-DR dimers packed inside the hA3G-D envelope at left; a black oval indicates a dyad symmetry axis relating the independent LMM particles (red or purple). (B) Transparent surface and ribbon depiction of the CDD1 cytidine deaminase structure (PDB entry 1R5T); each CDA subunit is colored differently and contains a single ZDD signature motif. (Inset) a single CDA domain (residues 1-132) representing the fundamental $\alpha/\beta$ fold; Zn$^{2+}$ is depicted as a gray sphere. (Right) The hA3G-DR (LMM) envelope (red, semi-transparent) with two independent CDA domains of CDD1 docked inside. (C) Tail-to-Tail and (D) Head-to-Head schematic diagrams of subunit arrangements. NTCD, N-terminal catalytic domain; NTNCD, N-terminal non-catalytic domain; CTCD, C-terminal catalytic domain; and CTNCD, C-terminal non-catalytic domain.
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